Wireless Mesh Network for Pesticide Spray Monitoring and Mapping

Project Planning

sdmay25-04 Ashley Falcon, Henry Hingst, Wesley Smith, Yok Quan Ong, Drew Scheidler, Hector Perez Prieto

> Advisor: Nathan Neihart Client: Claussen Labs

Project Overview

Goal: Wireless Mesh Network for Pesticide Spray Monitoring and Mapping

- Collect resistance data from IDEs (Interdigitated Electrodes) at various levels of crop canopy
- ESP32s microcontrollers will also be placed at various levels of corn canopies and locations and create a "mesh network"
- Measurements will be sent to a centralized device to be pulled by user
 - Centralized device will be a Raspberry Pi
 - Transmitted over bluetooth or wifi
 - Text files must be user friendly



Project Management Style

- Allows for a fixed timeline with deadlines
- Limited need for client check-ins
- Low flexibility
- High planning load
- Meetings weekly with advisor
- Regular meetings between project group



Task Decomposition

- Currently broken into two teams
 - Software & Hardware
- Allows for teams and individuals to break off and work on tasks assigned to them or that team that week



Key milestones

4 essential components must be completed:

- 1. Create working network between nodes and central node
- 2. Feed mimicked voltage levels into microcontroller to find resistance
- 3. Package resistance measurements in predictable frame
- 4. Draw data from central node to a text file



Key Risks

Although not certain, there are a few risks with our implementation:

- 1. Poor communication between microcontrollers as a result of interference
 - a. Farming equipment or corn stalks could interfere with wireless communication
 - b. We are addressing this by exploring different protocols such as the long range wi-fi built into the ESP32
- 2. Errors in data transmission
 - a. We need to determine how the microcontrollers will handle errors or drops in data received from another node
 - b. Can be mitigated via a clear frame for packaging, error messages, and timeouts
- 3. Unknowns with IDE sensors
 - a. We are currently troubleshooting with an artificial circuit rather than pesticides
 - b. Once we move to using IDEs, we may have issues that we can not foresee at this moment
 - c. Simply giving ourselves enough time to troubleshoot is essential

Conclusion

- 1. Waterfall primarily since it aligns with:
 - a. Fixed deadlines associated with our task decomposition
 - b. Weekly meetings with advisors
 - c. Frequent communication with team members
- 2. Tasks decomposed through a branched style
 - a. First determine whether it is a hardware or software concern
 - b. Then assign to specific individual(s)
 - c. Made up of essential milestones
- 3. Some risk involved with our project and strategy
 - a. Unknowns involving communication, interference, errors, and sensors
 - b. Waterfall is relatively inflexible and may need altering